#### DEPARTMENT OF STATE REVENUE

# LETTER OF FINDINGS NUMBER: 98-0449 Sales Tax Calendar Years 1993, 1994, & 1995

NOTICE: Under IC 4-22-7-7, this document is required to be published in the

Indiana Register and is effective on its date of publication. It shall remain in effect until the date it is superceded or deleted by the publication of a new document in the Indiana Register. The publication of this document will provide the general public with information about the Department's official position concerning a specific issue.

#### **ISSUE**

# I. <u>Tax Administration</u> – Penalty

**Authority:** IC 6-8.1-10-2.1(d); 45 IAC 15-11-2

The taxpayer protests the negligence penalty.

#### STATEMENT OF FACTS

The negligence penalty was assessed on a sales tax assessment resulting from a Department audit conducted for the calendar years 1993, 1994, & 1995.

The taxpayer is an educational institute for technical and academic training in various occupations. The taxpayer operates in various states which includes Indiana. The taxpayer is solely owned by one individual.

### I. <u>Tax Administration</u> – Penalty

# **DISCUSSION**

The taxpayer requests the penalty assessment be waived. The Department points out the taxpayer had no company guidelines by which to assess and remit sales and use tax.

45 IAC 15-11-2(b) states, "Negligence, on behalf of a taxpayer is defined as the failure to use such reasonable care, caution, or diligence as would be expected of an ordinary reasonable taxpayer. Negligence would result from a taxpayer's carelessness, thoughtlessness, disregard or inattention to duties placed upon the taxpayer by the Indiana Code or department regulations. Ignorance of the listed tax laws, rules and/or regulations is treated as negligence. Further, failure to read and follow instructions

04980449.LOF Page #2

provided by the department is treated as negligence. Negligence shall be determined on a case by case basis according to the facts and circumstances of each taxpayer."

The Department finds the taxpayer did not act with reasonable care in that the taxpayer was inattentive to tax duties. Inattention is negligence and negligence is subject to penalty. As such, the taxpayer's penalty protest is denied.

### **FINDING**

The taxpayer's penalty protest is denied.

TB/RAW/JMS--011506